

INVERSION OF ADJUNCTION FOR NON-DEGENERATE HYPERSURFACES

FLORIN AMBRO

ABSTRACT. We prove a precise inversion of adjunction formula for the log variety $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X)$, where X is a non-degenerate hypersurface. As a corollary, the minimal log discrepancies of non-degenerate normal hypersurface singularities are bounded by dimension.

0. INTRODUCTION

A *log variety* (X, B) is a normal variety X endowed with an effective $\mathbb{R}$ -Weil divisor B such that $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier. For each point $P \in X$, the *minimal log discrepancy* $a(P; X, B)$ is an invariant of the singularity of the log variety (X, B) at P . In connection to the termination of flips in the Minimal Model Program, V.V. Shokurov conjectured certain spectral properties of minimal log discrepancies, in particular that they are bounded by the dimension of the variety [9]. This is known to hold if $\dim X \leq 3$, or if X is a toric variety and B is an invariant divisor [8, 7, 4, 3, 1]. Our main result adds to this list the case of non-degenerate hypersurface singularities:

Main Theorem . Let $0 \in X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be the germ of a normal, non-degenerate hypersurface singularity. Then

- (i) $a(0; X) = a(0; \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X)$.
- (ii) $a(0; X) \leq n$, and equality holds if and only if X is nonsingular.

The first statement is a *precise inversion of adjunction* for the log variety $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X)$. Inversion of adjunction [10] has been used by V.V. Shokurov in his construction of 3-fold flips, and is conjectured to hold for any log variety (see [5, 6]). The effective upper bound is an immediate corollary.

As for the proof of the theorem, it is enough to consider weighted valuations only, since non-degenerate hypersurfaces can be resolved by standard toric methods (Lemma 1.2). Via the key Lemma 3.1, suffices to show that the log discrepancy function attains its minimum inside

¹2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 14B05 Secondary: 14M25, 52B20.

some proper cone of the Newton fan associated with the hypersurface X (Proposition 2.1).

Acknowledgments . The author is a Research Fellow of the Japan Society for Promotion of Sciences. Partial support by NSF Grant DMS-9800807 was received at an initial stage.

1. PRELIMINARY

Let (X, B) be a normal variety X endowed with an effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor B such that $K + B$ is $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier. For a resolution $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, there exists a unique divisor $\tilde{B}$ on $\tilde{X}$ such that $\mu^*(K_X + B) = K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{B}$ and $\tilde{B} = B$ on $\tilde{X} \setminus \text{Exc}(\mu)$. The identity $\tilde{B} = \sum_{E \subset \tilde{X}} (1 - a(E; X, B))E$ associates to each prime divisor E of $\tilde{X}$ a real number $a(E; X, B)$, called the *log discrepancy* of E with respect to (X, B) . The invariant $a(E; X, B)$ depends only on the valuation defined by E on the field of rational functions of X , with center $c_X(E) = \mu(E)$.

We say that μ is a *log resolution* of (X, B) if $\text{Supp}(\mu^{-1}(B)) \cup \text{Exc}(\mu)$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings. The *minimal log discrepancy* of a log pair (X, B) at a point $P \in X$ is

$$a(P; X, B) = \inf_{c_X(E)=P} a(E; X, B),$$

where the infimum is taken after all prime divisors on resolutions of X , having P as a center on X [9]. The log pair (X, B) has only *log canonical singularities* if $a(E; X, B) \geq 0$ for every valuation E of X . Minimal log discrepancies are computed as follows: let $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{B})$ be a log resolution such that $\mu^{-1}(P)$ is a divisor. Let $\cup_i E_i$ be the divisor with normal crossings supporting $\text{Exc}(\mu)$ and $\tilde{B}$. If $\min_{c_X(E_i)=P} a(E_i; X, B) < 0$ then $a(P; X, B) = -\infty$. Otherwise, $a(P; X, B) = \min_{c_X(E_i)=P} a(E_i; B) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and (X, B) has only log canonical singularities in a neighborhood of P .

To any hypersurface $X : (f = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ one can associate a fan, which is a subdivision of the standard cone. We recall below this construction, and we also fix the notation. Fixing coordinates, we identify $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with the toric variety $T_N(\sigma)$, where σ is the standard cone, with basis $\{e_0, \dots, e_n\}$. The *Newton polyhedron* of f , denoted Γ_+ , is the convex hull of $\cup_{m \in \text{Supp}(f)} (m + \sigma^\vee) \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$. The *Newton diagram* Γ is the union of compact faces of Γ_+ . The *supporting function* $l_\Gamma : \sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined as $l_\Gamma(a) = \min_{m \in \Gamma_+} (a, m)$. The *trace* of a covector $a \in \sigma$ is $\text{tr}(a) = \{m \in \Gamma_+; (a, m) = l_\Gamma(a)\}$. The faces of Γ_+ are the traces of covectors $a \in \sigma$. Compact faces are the traces of covectors $a \in \text{relint}(\sigma)$, while non-compact faces can be written as $\gamma + \sigma_I^\vee$, where γ is a compact face and $\sigma_I^\vee = \{m \in \sigma^\vee; m_i = 0 \ \forall i \notin I\}$.

We say that two covectors a and a' are equivalent if they have the same trace. The closures of equivalence classes are closed cones forming a fan $\Sigma_f = \Sigma_{\Gamma(f)}$, which is a subdivision of the standard fan $\{\sigma\}$. Each cone can be represented as

$$\sigma_{\gamma,I} := \{a \in \sigma_I; (a, m) = l_{\Gamma}(a) \ \forall m \in \gamma\}, \ \sigma_{\gamma,I} \cap \text{relint}(\sigma_I) \neq \emptyset$$

where γ is a compact face of Γ and I is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We drop I from notation if $I = \emptyset$. The cones containing $\sigma_{\gamma,I}$ are $\{\sigma_{\tau,J}; \tau \prec \gamma, J \subset I\}$. We say that a cone of Σ_f is *proper* if it is not maximal dimensional. Note that the supporting function l_{Γ} is linear on each cone: $l_{\Gamma}(a) = (a, m)$, if $a \in \sigma_{\gamma}$ and m is a point of γ .

Definition 1.1. The power series $f = \sum_m c_m x^m$ is *non-degenerate* (with respect to its Newton polyhedron) if the hypersurfaces

$$\left\{ \sum_{m \in \gamma} c_m x^m = 0 \right\} \subset (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^{n+1}$$

are non-singular for every compact face γ of Γ .

Lemma 1.2. [2, 8.9] *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface given by a non-degenerate series f , and let Σ be a simple subdivision of $\Sigma_{\Gamma(f)}$ containing the primitive vector $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$ in its skeleton. Then the induced birational morphism $\mu : T_N(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a log resolution of $(\mathbb{C}^n, X)$ over a neighbourhood of 0, and $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is a divisor.*

2. THE LOG DISCREPANCY FUNCTION

For $\delta \in \text{Shed}(\sigma^{\vee})$, the *log discrepancy function* is defined as

$$\varphi = \varphi_{\delta} : N \cap \sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \ \varphi(a) = (a, \delta) - l_{\Gamma}(a)$$

The function φ measures the singularities of the pair

$$(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X + \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \delta_i) H_i)$$

where H_i are the coordinate hyperplane sections: if $a \in \sigma \cap N$ is a primitive covector, and E_a is the exceptional divisor of the a -weighted blow up, then $a(E_a; \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X + \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \delta_i) H_i) = \varphi(a)$.

We assume until the end of this section that φ is *non-negative*, which is equivalent to $\delta \in \Gamma_+ \cap \text{Shed}(\sigma^{\vee})$. In particular, φ has a minimum.

Proposition 2.1. *The restriction $\varphi : N \cap \text{relint}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ attains its minimum inside some proper cone of Σ_f .*

We first remark that φ has the following properties:

- $\varphi(ca) = c \cdot \varphi(a)$ for $c > 0$.

- $\varphi(a + a') \leq \varphi(a) + \varphi(a')$, and equality holds iff $\text{tr}(a) \cap \text{tr}(a') \neq \emptyset$.
- The zero locus $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi) := \{a \in \sigma; \varphi(a) = 0\}$ is a cone $\sigma_{\gamma, I}$ of Σ_Γ . Indeed, one can write (not uniquely) $\delta = \sum_i \lambda_i m^i + r$, where $\{m^i\}$ are the vertices of a compact face γ of Γ , $\lambda_i > 0 \ \forall i$, $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$, and $r \in \sigma^\vee$. Then $Z(\varphi) = \sigma_\gamma \cap r^\perp$. Note that $\delta \in \text{relint}(\gamma + \sigma_I^\vee)$.
- The function φ can attain its minimum value only on cones containing $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$. Indeed, there exists $e \in \sigma_{\gamma, I} = \mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$ such that $\text{tr}_\Gamma(e) = \gamma$. If $a \in \sigma \setminus \cup_{m \in \gamma} \sigma_m$, i.e. $\text{tr}_\Gamma(a) \cap \gamma = \emptyset$, then $\varphi(a + e) < \varphi(a) + \varphi(e) = \varphi(a)$. Therefore φ cannot attain the minimum value at a .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $a \in \sigma \cap N$ such that $\text{tr}_\Gamma(a) \cap \text{tr}_\Gamma(e_j) = \emptyset$. Then $\varphi(a + e_j) \leq \varphi(a)$, and equality holds if and only if $\delta_j = 1$ and one of the following holds:*

- a) *There exists a vertex m of Γ such that $a, a + e_j \in \sigma_m$, and $m_j = 1$. Note that $\min \varphi|_{\sigma_m}$ is attained on $\cup_{m'_j=0} \sigma_{mm'}$ in this case.*
- b) *$m'_j = 0$ and $(a, m') = (a, m) + 1$ for every $m \in \text{tr}_\Gamma(a)$ and $m' \in \text{tr}_\Gamma(a + e_j)$. In particular, $e_j \in \sigma_{m'}$.*

Proof. Let $m \in \text{tr}_\Gamma(a), m' \in \text{tr}_\Gamma(a + e_j)$. Then

$$\varphi(a + e_j) - \varphi(a) = -(a, m' - m) + \delta_j - m'_j.$$

- a) If there exists $m \in \text{tr}_\Gamma(a) \cap \text{tr}_\Gamma(a + e_j)$, then $\varphi(a + e_j) - \varphi(a) = \delta_j - m_j$. Since $e_j \notin \sigma_m$, $m_j \geq 1$, and there exists m' with $m'_j < m_j$. Thus $\varphi(a + e_j) \leq \varphi(a)$, and equality holds if and only if $\delta_j = m_j = 1$.
- b) Assume $\text{tr}_\Gamma(a) \cap \text{tr}_\Gamma(a + e_j) = \emptyset$. Then $\varphi(a + e_j) - \varphi(a) \leq -1 + \delta_j - m'_j \leq 0$, and equality holds if and only if $\delta_j = 1$, $m'_j = 0$, and $(a, m' - m) = 1$ for all $m \in \text{tr}_\Gamma(a), m' \in \text{tr}_\Gamma(a + e_j)$.

□

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Assume first that $I = \emptyset$. That is $\delta \in \Gamma$, and $\mathcal{Z}(\varphi) = \sigma_\gamma$ intersects $\text{relint}(\sigma)$. If $\dim \gamma > 0$ then the minimum is attained only in the proper cone σ_γ . If $\dim \gamma = 0$, φ is identically zero on the maximal cone σ_γ .

Assume $I \neq \emptyset$. We may assume $\delta_i < 1$ for all $i \in I$. This is sufficient, since the desired property of $\varphi = \varphi_\delta$ is closed with respect to δ belonging to the convex polyhedron $\Gamma_+ \cap \text{Shed}(\sigma^\vee)$. Assume by contradiction that minimum is not attained on proper cones. Let m be a vertex of γ such that φ attains its minimum inside the maximal cone σ_m . Since $\delta_i < 1$ for all $i \in I$, we obtain $e_i \in \sigma_m$ for all $i \in I$

by Lemma 2.2. In fact, we obtain $m_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$ since φ is non-negative and $\delta_i < 1$. By Lemma 2.2 again, φ attains minimum inside $\sigma_{m'}$ for some $m' \neq m$.

Let $m \in \gamma$ with $m_i = 0 \ \forall i \in I$. Then the minimum of φ on $\sigma_m \cap \text{relint}(\sigma)$ is attained on $\sigma_{\gamma, I} + \sum_{i \in I} e_i$. Indeed, for $a \in \sigma_m$ we have

$$\varphi(a) = \sum_{i \in I} \delta_i a_i + \varphi(\bar{a}) \geq \sum_{i \in I} \delta_i,$$

where $\bar{a}_i = a_i$ for $i \notin I$ and $\bar{a}_i = 0$ for $i \in I$. If $m, m' \in \gamma$ with $m_i = m'_i = 0 \ \forall i \in I$ then $\sigma_{\gamma, I} + \sum_{i \in I} e_i \subset \sigma_{mm'}$ and we are done. $\square$

3. INVERSION OF ADJUNCTION

Lemma 3.1. *Let $X : (f(x) = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface which does not contain any of the coordinate hyperplanes ($x_i = 0$). Let Σ be a simple subdivision of $\Sigma_{\Gamma(f)}$, and let $\mu : T_N(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be the associated resolution. Let E_a be the μ -exceptional divisor corresponding to $a \in \text{relint}(\sigma) \cap N$. If E_a does not intersect the proper transform of X , then a belongs to a unique cone of $\Sigma_{\Gamma(f)}$.*

Proof. $T_N(\Sigma)$ is covered by the open sets $U_\tau \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ corresponding to the maximal dimensional cones of Σ . Let $\tau \in \Sigma$ be a maximal cone such that $E_a \cap U_\tau \neq \emptyset$. The restriction $\mu : U_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ can be identified with

$$\mu_\tau : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \quad x_i = y_0^{a_i^0} \cdots y_n^{a_i^n}$$

where $(a^0, \dots, a^n)$ is the ordered skeleton of τ . We may assume $a = a^0$. Denote by X' the proper transform of X in $T_N(\Sigma)$. We have

$$\mu_\tau^*(f) = \sum_{m \in \text{Supp}(f)} c_m \prod_{j=0}^n y_j^{(a^j, m)} = \left(\prod_{j=0}^n y_j^{l(a^j)} \right) f_\tau(y_0, \dots, y_n),$$

where $f_\tau = 0$ is the equation of $X' \cap U_\tau$. The divisor E_a has equation $y_0 = 0$ in U_τ , thus $E_a \cap X' \cap U_\tau = \emptyset$ iff there is a non-zero constant C such that $f_\tau \equiv C \pmod{y_0}$. Equivalently, $\min_{m \in \text{Supp}(f)} (a^0, m)$ is attained in exactly one point. Since $a \in \text{relint}(\sigma)$, this implies that the trace of a is a vertex of Γ , i.e. a belongs to the interior of some maximal cone of Σ_Γ . $\square$

Proof of the Main Theorem. (i): We may assume that X does not contain any of the coordinate hyperplanes. By Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$a(0; X) \geq a(0; \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X) = \inf \varphi|_{\text{relint}(\sigma) \cap N}$$

where φ corresponds to $\delta = \mathbf{1}$. Assume that $a(0; \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X) = -\infty$. Then φ takes negative values. In particular, there exists a primitive vector $a \in \text{relint}(\sigma) \cap N$ contained in a proper cone of Σ_f such that

$\varphi(a) < 0$. Let Σ be a simple subdivision of the fan Σ_Γ , such that a and $\mathbf{1}$ belong to its skeleton, and let $\mu : T_N(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be the induced log resolution. By Lemma 3.1, the exceptional divisor E_a intersects the proper transform X' of X , and Lemma 1.2 implies that $a(0; X) \leq a(E_a \cap X'; X) = \varphi(a) < 0$. Therefore $a(0; X) = a(0; \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X)$.

Assume that $a(0; \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X) \geq 0$. In particular, φ is non-negative. By Proposition 2.1, there exists a primitive vector $a \in \text{relint}(\sigma) \cap N$ contained in a proper cone of Σ_f such that $\varphi(a) = \min \varphi|_{\text{relint}(\sigma) \cap N}$. The same argument as above implies that $a(0; X) \leq \varphi(a)$, hence $a(0; X) = a(0; \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X)$.

(ii): If E is the exceptional divisor of the blow up of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ at 0, then $a(E; \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X) = n - (\text{mult}_0(f) - 1)$. By (i), $a(0; X) = a(0; \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, X) \leq n$. It is clear that equality holds if and only if X is non-singular at 0. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Ambro, F., On minimal log discrepancies, Math. Res. Letters, **6** (1999), 573–580.
- [2] Arnol'd, V. I.; Guseĭn-Zade, S. M.; Varchenko, A. N. *Singularities of differentiable maps. Vol. II*, Monographs in Mathematics, **83**, Birkhauser 1988.
- [3] Borisov, A.A., *Minimal discrepancies of toric singularities*, Manuscripta Math. **92** (1997), no. 1, 33–45.
- [4] Kawamata, Y., *The minimal discrepancy of a 3-fold terminal singularity*, An appendix to [10].
- [5] Kollár, J. et al., *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Astérisque **211** (1992).
- [6] Kollár, J., *Singularities of pairs. Algebraic geometry— Santa Cruz 1995*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **62**, Part 1, AMS 1997, 221–287.
- [7] Markushevich, D., *Minimal discrepancy for a terminal cDV singularity is 1*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **3** (1996), no. 2, 445–456.
- [8] Reid, M., *Canonical 3-folds*, in Géométrie algébrique Angers 1979 (A. Beauville, ed.), Sijthof & Noordhoff, 1980, 273–310.
- [9] Shokurov, V.V., *Problems about Fano varieties*, Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, Open Problems -Katata 1988, 30–32.
- [10] Shokurov, V.V., *3-Fold log flips*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40**:1 (1993), 95–202.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN.

E-mail address: ambro@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp